## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff, v.	Civil Action No. 00-1663
DAIRY FARMERS OF AMERICA, et al.	
Defendants.	

## **UNITED STATES' EXPLANATION OF CONSENT DECREE PROCEDURES**

The United States submits this short memorandum summarizing the procedures regarding the Court's entry of the proposed Final Judgment. This Judgment would settle this case pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act ("APPA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 16(b)-(h), which applies to civil antitrust cases brought and settled by the United States.

- 1. The United States today has filed with the Court a proposed Final Judgment between the parties by which they agree that the Court may enter the proposed Final Judgment following the United States' compliance with the APPA.
- 2. The United States very shortly will file a Competitive Impact Statement relating to the proposed Final Judgment, as required under 15 U.S.C. § 16(b).
- 3. The APPA requires that the United States publish the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement in the <u>Federal Register</u> and in certain newspapers at least sixty (60) days prior to entry of the proposed Final Judgment. The notice will inform members of the public that they may submit comments about the proposed Final Judgment to the United States

Department of Justice, Antitrust Division. See 15 U.S.C. §§ 16(b)-(c).

4. During the sixty-day period, the United States will consider, and at the close of that

period will respond to, any comments that it has received, and it will publish the comments and

the United States' responses in the Federal Register.

5. After the expiration of the sixty-day period, the United States will file with the

Court the comments and the United States' responses, and it may ask the Court to enter the

proposed Final Judgment. See 15 U.S.C. § 16(d).

6. If the United States requests that the Court enter the proposed Final Judgment after

compliance with the APPA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 16(e)-(f), the Court may enter the proposed Final

Judgment without a hearing, if it finds that the proposed Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_

Mark J. Botti

Assistant Chief, Litigation II Section

Michael H. Knight

Theresa Cooney

Nora W. Terres

J.D. Donaldson

Attorneys

Litigation II Section

U.S. Department of Justice

1401 H Street, N.W.

Suite 4000

Washington, DC 20530

202-307-0827

Dated: May 18, 2000

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 00-1663

DAIRY FARMERS OF AMERICA, *et al.* 

Defendants.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Michael H. Knight, hereby certify that on May \_\_\_, 2000, I caused copies of the foregoing proposed Final Judgment and the United States' Explanation of Consent Decree Procedures to be served by telecopier and by mail upon the following:

Todd Miller, Esq.
Baker & Miller, PLLC
Suite 1000
915 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-2302

Counsel for Dairy Farmers of America

Burton Z. Alter, Esq. Christopher Rooney, Esq. Carmody & Torrance LLP 18<sup>th</sup> Floor 195 Church Street New Haven, CT 06509-1950 Counsel for SODIAAL North America Corporation and for Societe de Diffusion Internationale Agro-Alimentaire

MICHAEL H. KNIGHT

Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division 1401 H Street, N.W., Room 4000 Washington, D.C. 20530

Phone: 202-514-9109 Fax: 202-514-9033